

An improvement of a result on Smolyanov–Weizsäcker surface measures

Evelina Shamarova^{*†}

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary isometrically embedded into $\mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathbb{W}_{M,t}^x$ be the distribution of a Brownian bridge starting at $x \in M$ and returning to M at time t . Let $Q_t : C(M) \rightarrow C(M)$, $(Q_t f)(x) = \int_{C([0,1], \mathbb{R}^m)} f(\omega(t)) \mathbb{W}_{M,t}^x(d\omega)$, and let $\mathcal{P} = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t\}$ be a partition of $[0, t]$. It was shown in [2] that

$$Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_n-t_{n-1}} f \rightarrow e^{-t \frac{\Delta_M}{2}} f, \quad \text{as } |\mathcal{P}| \rightarrow 0, \quad (1)$$

in $C(M)$. Taking into consideration integral representations:

$(Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_n-t_{n-1}} f)(x) = \int_M q_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) f(y) \lambda_M(dy)$ and $(e^{-t \frac{\Delta_M}{2}} f)(x) = \int_M h(x, y, t) f(y) \lambda_M(dy)$, where λ_M is the volume measure on M , $h(x, y, t)$ is the heat kernel on M , one interprets relation (1) as a weak convergence in $C(M)$ of the integral kernels:

$$q_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) \rightarrow h(x, y, t). \quad (2)$$

The present paper improves the result of [2], and shows that convergence (2) is uniform on $M \times M$.

Keywords: Gaussian integrals on compact Riemannian manifolds, heat kernel, Smolyanov–Weizsäcker approach, Smolyanov–Weizsäcker surface measures

1 Introduction

Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary isometrically embedded into $\mathbb{R}^m$, $\dim M = d$. Define

$$q(x, y, t) = \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}}}{\int_M e^{-\frac{|x-\bar{y}|^2}{2t}} \lambda_M(d\bar{y})}$$

^{*}Erwin-Schrödinger Institute for Mathematical Physics, Vienna, Austria.

[†]*Email:* chamarov@rhrk.uni-kl.de

where λ_M is the volume measure on M . It was shown in [2] that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the following limit exists relative to the family of bounded continuous functions, and defines a probability measure on $C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^m)$:

$$\int_{C([0,1], \mathbb{R}^m)} f(\omega) \mathbb{W}_{M,t}^x(d\omega) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{\pi_t^{-1}(U_\varepsilon(M))} f(\omega) \mathbb{W}^x(d\omega)}{\mathbb{W}^x(\pi_t^{-1}(U_\varepsilon(M)))}$$

where $x \in M$, $t \in [0, 1]$, $\mathbb{W}^x$ is the Wiener measure on $C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^m)$, $U_\varepsilon(M)$ is the ε -neighborhood of M , π_t is the evaluation mapping $C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(t)$. Measure $\mathbb{W}_{M,t}^x$ is the distribution of a Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^m$ conditioned to return to M at time t (Brownian bridge). We introduce operators Q_t as they were defined in [2]. If f is a cylinder function satisfying the relation $f(\omega) = f(\pi_t^{-1}(\omega(t)))$, and $g : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $f(\omega) = g(\omega(t))$, then,

$$(Q_t g)(x) = \int_M g(y) q(x, y, t) \lambda_M(dy) = \int_{C([0,1], \mathbb{R}^m)} f(\omega) \mathbb{W}_{M,t}^x(d\omega) . \quad (3)$$

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t\}$ be a partition of interval $[0, t]$, and let

$$q_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) = \int_M dx_1 q(x, x_1, t_1) \int_M dx_2 q(x_1, x_2, t_2 - t_1) \dots \int_M dx_{n-1} q(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, t_{n-1} - t_{n-2}) q(x_{n-1}, y, t_n - t_{n-1}) . \quad (4)$$

Taking into account representation (3), we obtain:

$$(Q_{t_1-t_0} \dots Q_{t_n-t_{n-1}} g)(x) = \int_M q_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) g(y) \lambda_M(dy) .$$

Let $h(x, y, t)$, $x, y \in M$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, denote the heat kernel on the manifold M . We have

$$(e^{-t \frac{\Delta_M}{2}} g)(x) = \int_M h(x, y, t) g(y) \lambda_M(dy) .$$

The paper [2] states that

$$(Q_{t_1-t_0} \dots Q_{t_n-t_{n-1}} g)(x) \rightarrow (e^{-t \frac{\Delta_M}{2}} g)(x)$$

uniformly in $x \in M$. Theorem 1 below improves this result of [2].

2 Main Theorem

THEOREM 1. *Let partition $\mathcal{P}$ satisfy the following condition: there exists an integer k such that $\min\{t_i - t_{i-1}\} > |\mathcal{P}|^k$, where $|\mathcal{P}|$ denotes the mesh of $\mathcal{P}$. Then, for all $t \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\lim_{|\mathcal{P}| \rightarrow 0} q_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) = h(x, y, t)$$

uniformly in $x, y \in M$.

For the proof of the theorem we will need a few lemmas. For $x, y \in M$, $t \in [0, 1]$, we define

$$p(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}},$$

$$\mathcal{E}(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{d(x, y)^2}{2t}},$$

where $d(x, y)$ is the geodesic distance between x and y .

LEMMA 1. *There exist bounded functions $\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Theta_3, \Theta_4, \Theta_5, M \times M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$q(x, y, t) = p(x, y, t)(1 + \Theta_1(x, y, t) t), \quad (5)$$

and for all $x, y \in M$ satisfying $|x - y| < t^\alpha$, where $\frac{1}{4} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, the following relations hold:

$$p(x, y, t) = \mathcal{E}(x, y, t)(1 + \Theta_2(x, y, t) t^{4\alpha-1}), \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{E}(x, y, t) = h(x, y, t)(1 + \Theta_3(x, y, t) t^{2\alpha}), \quad (7)$$

$$q(x, y, t) = h(x, y, t)(1 + \Theta_4(x, y, t) t^{4\alpha-1}), \quad (8)$$

$$h(x, y, t) = p(x, y, t)(1 + \Theta_5(x, y, t) t^{4\alpha-1}). \quad (9)$$

Proof. The proof of relation (5) follows from the asymptotic expansion [2]:

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_M e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}} \lambda_M(dy) = 1 - t \left(\frac{1}{6} \text{scal}(x) + \frac{1}{16} \Delta_M \Delta_M |x - \cdot|^2|_x \right) + tR(t, x),$$

where $|R(t, y)| < Kt^{1/2}$, K is a constant, $\text{scal}(y)$ is the scalar curvature at point y . To prove (6), notice that

$$|x - y|^2 = d(x, y)^2 + \theta(x, y)d(x, y)^4,$$

where θ is bounded on $M \times M$. Applying the Taylor expansion to $e^{-\frac{\theta(x, y)d(x, y)^4}{2t}}$, we can easily see the existence of a bounded function $\Theta_2 : M \times M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$e^{-\frac{\theta(x, y)d(x, y)^4}{2t}} = 1 + \Theta_2(x, y, t) t^{4\alpha-1}$$

for $x, y \in M$ satisfying $|x - y| < t^\alpha$. This proves relation (6). Relation (7) follows from the following representation of $h(x, y, t)$ for y in a neighborhood of x [1]:

$$h(x, y, t) = \mathcal{E}(x, y, t) \left(\sum_{i=0}^k u_i(x, y) t^i + O(t^{k+1}) \right)$$

where $u_i : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous, $u_0(x, x) = 1$, and $\nabla_M u_0(x, x) = 0$. Applying Taylor expansion to $u_0(x, y)$ for $y \in M$ satisfying $|x - y| < t^\alpha$, we obtain (7). Relation (8) is a consequence of (5), (6), and (7) if we notice that for $\frac{1}{4} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, $4\alpha - 1 < 2\alpha$. Relation (9) is an immediate corollary of (8). $\square$

LEMMA 2. Let $\frac{1}{4} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Then, there exist a bounded function $R : M \times M \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and a point $z_{t_1 t_2} \in M$ such that for $x, z \in M$, $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M q(x, y, t_1) h(y, z, t_2) \lambda_M(dy) &= h(x, z, t_1 + t_2) (1 + \Theta_4(x, z_{t_1 t_2}, t_1) t_1^{4\alpha-1}) \\ &\quad + \frac{R(x, z, t_1, t_2)}{(2\pi t_1)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2t_1^{1-2\alpha}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $U_{t_1}(x) = \{y \in M : |y - x| < t_1^\alpha\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M \setminus U_{t_1}(x)} p(x, y, t_1) h(y, z, t_2) \lambda_M(dy) &< \frac{1}{(2\pi t_1)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2t_1^{1-2\alpha}}}, \tag{10} \\ \int_{M \setminus U_{t_1}(x)} h(x, y, t_1) h(y, z, t_2) \lambda_M(dy) \\ &= \int_{M \setminus U_{t_1}(x)} p(x, y, t_1) h(y, z, t_2) (1 + \Theta_5(x, y, t_1) t_1^{4\alpha-1}) \lambda_M(dy) < \frac{K_1}{(2\pi t_1)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2t_1^{1-2\alpha}}} \end{aligned}$$

where K_1 is a constant independent of x, z, t_1 , and t_2 . Inequality (10) and relation (5) imply existence of a constant K_2 such that

$$\int_{M \setminus U_{t_1}(x)} q(x, y, t_1) h(y, z, t_2) \lambda_M(dy) < \frac{K_2}{(2\pi t_1)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2t_1^{1-2\alpha}}}. \tag{11}$$

Further, using relation (8) of Lemma 1 and two inequalities above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U_{t_1}(x)} q(x, y, t_1) h(y, z, t_2) \lambda_M(dy) &= \int_{U_{t_1}(x)} h(x, y, t_1) h(y, z, t_2) \lambda_M(dy) \\ &\quad + t_1^{4\alpha-1} \int_{U_{t_1}(x)} h(x, y, t_1) h(y, z, t_2) \Theta_4(x, y, t_1) \lambda_M(dy) \\ &= \int_M h(x, y, t_1) h(y, z, t_2) \lambda_M(dy) \\ &\quad + t_1^{4\alpha-1} \Theta_4(x, z_{t_1 t_2}, t_1) \int_M h(x, y, t_1) h(y, z, t_2) \lambda_M(dy) \\ &\quad + \frac{\bar{R}(x, z, t_1, t_2)}{(2\pi t_1)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2t_1^{1-2\alpha}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{R} : M \times M \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ is bounded. Applying inequality (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M q(x, y, t_1) h(y, z, t_2) \lambda_M(dy) &= h(x, z, t_1 + t_2) + t_1^{4\alpha-1} \Theta_4(x, z_{t_1 t_2}, t_1) h(x, z, t_1 + t_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{R(x, z, t_1, t_2)}{(2\pi t_1)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2t_1^{1-2\alpha}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where, again, $R : M \times M \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ is bounded by $K = K_1 + K_2$. This proves the lemma. $\square$

Below, we need again operators Q_s , and therefore, we repeat their definition:

$$Q_s : C(M) \rightarrow C(M), f \mapsto \int_M q(\cdot, y, s) f(y) \lambda_M(dy) .$$

LEMMA 3. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a partition of $[0, t]$ as above, and let $\tau = t_n - t_{n-1}$, the length of the last partition interval, converge to zero such that $\tau^{d+9} > |\mathcal{P} \setminus \{t_n\}|$. Then, as the mesh of $\mathcal{P}$ tends to zero,*

$$(Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_n-t_{n-1}} p(\cdot, y, \tau))(x) \rightarrow h(x, y, t) , \quad (12)$$

$$(Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_n-t_{n-1}} h(\cdot, y, \tau))(x) \rightarrow h(x, y, t) , \quad (13)$$

uniformly in $x, y \in M$.

Proof. Let y be fixed. From the paper [2], we have the following inequality

$$\|(Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_n-t_{n-1}} - e^{-\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Delta_M}) p(\cdot, y, \tau)\| \leq K t \|p(\cdot, y, \tau)\|_4 \sqrt{|\mathcal{P} \setminus \{t_n\}|} ,$$

where the norm $\|\cdot\|_4$ is described in [2]. Note that

$$\|p(\cdot, y, \tau)\|_4 < \frac{\bar{K}}{\tau^{\frac{d}{2}+4}},$$

where $\bar{K}$ is a constant. Further, since we assumed that $|\mathcal{P} \setminus \{t_n\}| < \tau^{d+9}$, we obtain

$$\|(Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_n-t_{n-1}} - e^{-\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Delta_M}) p(\cdot, y, \tau)\| < \text{const} \sqrt{\tau} \rightarrow 0, \quad |\mathcal{P}| \rightarrow 0 .$$

Further, note that

$$(e^{-\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Delta_M}) p(\cdot, y, \tau)(x) = \int_M h(x, z, t - \tau) p(z, y, \tau) \lambda_M(dz) .$$

Now, in the last integral, we apply the asymptotic expansion [2] relative to the small parameter τ to the function $h(x, z, t - \tau)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M h(x, z, t - \tau) p(z, y, \tau) \lambda_M(dz) &= h(x, y, t - \tau) - \frac{\tau}{2} \Delta_M h(x, y, t - \tau) \\ &\quad + \tau h(x, y, t - \tau) \left(\frac{1}{6} \text{scal}(x) + \frac{1}{16} \Delta_M \Delta_M |x - \cdot|^2|_x \right) \\ &\quad + \tau R(t\tau, x). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, as $\tau \rightarrow 0$,

$$\int_M h(x, z, t - \tau) p(z, y, \tau) \lambda_M(dz) \rightarrow h(x, y, t)$$

uniformly in $x, y \in M$. This proves (12). Relation (9) shows that (13) holds too. $\square$

LEMMA 4. Let λ_i be numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = \tau$, and let $\tau^p < K \max\{\lambda_i\}$, $p > 1$, K is a constant. Further assume that there exists an integer $q > 1$ such that $\min\{\lambda_i\} > (\max\{\lambda_i\})^q$. Then, there exists a sufficiently small number $x > 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{1-x} \rightarrow 0$, as $\max\{\lambda_i\} \rightarrow 0$.

Proof.

$$\lambda_1^{1-x} + \lambda_2^{1-x} + \dots + \lambda_k^{1-x} \leq \frac{\tau}{(\max\{\lambda_i\})^{qx}} \leq K \tau^{1-pqx}.$$

Choose $x < \frac{1}{pq}$. The lemma is proved. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. We have

$$q_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) = (Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_{n-1}-t_{n-2}} q(\cdot, y, t_n - t_{n-1}))(x).$$

Applying relation (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) &= (1 + (t_n - t_{n-1}) \Theta_4(x_{\mathcal{P}}^{(n)}, y, t_n - t_{n-1})) (Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_{n-1}-t_{n-2}} h(\cdot, y, t_n - t_{n-1}))(x), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $x_{\mathcal{P}}^{(n)} \in M$ is a point on M depending on all points of partition $\mathcal{P}$. Continuing transformations of the last term in (14), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &(Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_{n-1}-t_{n-2}} h(\cdot, y, t_n - t_{n-1}))(x) \\ &= \left(Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_{n-2}-t_{n-3}} \int_M q(\cdot, y_{n-1}, t_{n-1}-t_{n-2}) h(y_{n-1}, y, t_n - t_{n-1}) \lambda_M(dy_{n-1}) \right)(x) \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_{n-1}-t_{n-2}} h(\cdot, y, t_n - t_{n-1}))(x) \\ &= (Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_{n-2}-t_{n-3}} h(\cdot, y, t_{n-2} - t_n) \\ &\quad \times (1 + (t_{n-1} - t_{n-2})^{4\alpha-1} \Theta_4(\cdot, y_{t_{n-1}t_{n-2}}^{(n-1)}, t_{n-1} - t_{n-2}))(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{R_{n-2}(x, y, \mathcal{P})}{(2\pi(t_{n-1} - t_{n-2}))^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2(t_{n-1}-t_{n-2})^{1-2\alpha}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $R_{n-2}(x, y, \mathcal{P}) = (Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_{n-2}-t_{n-3}} R(\cdot, y, t_{n-1}, t_{n-2}))(x)$, function $R(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ is described in Lemma 2. Function R_{n-2} is obviously bounded by the same constant K as function R . Finally, applying the mean value theorem to the function Θ_4 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_{n-1}-t_{n-2}} h(\cdot, y, t_n - t_{n-1}))(x) \\ &= (1 + (t_{n-1} - t_{n-2})^{4\alpha-1} \Theta_4(x_{\mathcal{P}}^{(n-1)}, y_{\mathcal{P}}^{(n-1)}, t_{n-1} - t_{n-2})) \\ &\quad \times (Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_{n-2}-t_{n-3}} h(\cdot, y, t_{n-2} - t_n))(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{R_{n-2}(x, y, \mathcal{P})}{(2\pi(t_{n-1} - t_{n-2}))^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2(t_{n-1}-t_{n-2})^{1-2\alpha}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $x_{\mathcal{P}}^{(n-1)}$ and $y_{\mathcal{P}}^{(n-1)}$ are points on the manifold M . Let N be the smallest number satisfying $\tau = t_n - t_{n-N} > |\mathcal{P}|^{\frac{1}{d+9}}$. Also, this implies that $\tau - (t_{n-N+1} - t_{n-N}) < |\mathcal{P}|^{\frac{1}{d+9}}$, and hence, $\tau < |\mathcal{P}|^{\frac{1}{d+9}} + |\mathcal{P}| < 2|\mathcal{P}|^{\frac{1}{d+9}}$. Repeating the argument used in (15) $N - 2$ times, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) = & (1 + (t_n - t_{n-1}) \Theta_4(x_{\mathcal{P}}^{(n)}, y, t_n - t_{n-1})) \\ & \times (1 + (t_{n-1} - t_{n-2})^{4\alpha-1} \Theta_4(x_{\mathcal{P}}^{(n-1)}, y_{\mathcal{P}}^{(n-1)}, t_{n-1} - t_{n-2})) \cdots \\ & \times (1 + (t_{n-N+1} - t_{n-N})^{4\alpha-1} \Theta_4(x_{\mathcal{P}}^{(n-N+1)}, y_{\mathcal{P}}^{(n-N+1)}, t_{n-N+1} - t_{n-N})) \\ & \times (Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_{n-N}-t_{n-N-1}} h(\cdot, y, t_n - t_{n-N}))(x) \\ & + \sum_{k=2}^N \frac{R_{n-k}(x, y, \mathcal{P}) \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} (1 + (t_{n-j} - t_{n-j-1})^{4\alpha-1})}{(2\pi(t_{n-k+1} - t_{n-k}))^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2(t_{n-k+1}-t_{n-k})^{1-2\alpha}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where all functions R_{n-k} are bounded by the same constant. Now we just have to prove that as $|\mathcal{P}| \rightarrow 0$,

$$(Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_{n-N}-t_{n-N-1}} h(\cdot, y, t_n - t_{n-N}))(x) \rightarrow h(x, y, t), \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + (t_n - t_{n-1}) \Theta_4(x_{\mathcal{P}}^{(n)}, y, t_n - t_{n-1})) \\ & \times (1 + (t_{n-1} - t_{n-2})^{4\alpha-1} \Theta_4(x_{\mathcal{P}}^{(n-1)}, y_{\mathcal{P}}^{(n-1)}, t_{n-1} - t_{n-2})) \cdots \\ & \times (1 + (t_{n-N+1} - t_{n-N})^{4\alpha-1} \Theta_4(x_{\mathcal{P}}^{(n-N+1)}, y_{\mathcal{P}}^{(n-N+1)}, t_{n-N+1} - t_{n-N})) \rightarrow 1, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^N \frac{R_{n-k}(x, y, \mathcal{P}) \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} (1 + (t_{n-j} - t_{n-j-1})^{4\alpha-1})}{(2\pi(t_{n-k+1} - t_{n-k}))^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2(t_{n-k+1}-t_{n-k})^{1-2\alpha}}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (18)$$

uniformly in $x, y \in M$. Note that $|\mathcal{P}|^{\frac{1}{d+9}} < t_n - t_{n-N} < 2|\mathcal{P}|^{\frac{1}{d+9}}$. By Lemma 3,

$$(Q_{t_1-t_0} \cdots Q_{t_{n-N}-t_{n-N-1}} h(\cdot, y, \tau))(x) \rightarrow h(x, y, t),$$

and the convergence holds uniformly in $x, y \in M$. Further, for simplicity introduce the notations: $\tau_i = t_n - t_{n-i}$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, $\Theta^{(i)} = \Theta_4(x_{\mathcal{P}}^{n-i+1}, y_{\mathcal{P}}^{n-i+1}, t_{n-i+1} - t_{n-i})$. Relation (17) holds if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \log(1 + \tau_i^{4\alpha-1} \Theta^{(i)}) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |\mathcal{P}| \rightarrow 0.$$

To prove this, we use inequality

$$\log(1 + \tau_i^{4\alpha-1} \Theta^{(i)}) < \tau_i^{4\alpha-1} \Theta^{(i)}.$$

To treat negative numbers $\tau_i^{4\alpha-1}\Theta^{(i)}$, we consider the mesh of $\mathcal{P}$ small enough, so that $|\tau_i^{4\alpha-1}\Theta^{(i)}| < C|\mathcal{P}|^{4\alpha-1} < \varepsilon$, where C is a constant, and ε is sufficiently small, so that inequality

$$\frac{1}{2}\tau_i^{4\alpha-1}\Theta^{(i)} < \log(1 + \tau_i^{4\alpha-1}\Theta^{(i)})$$

holds. Considering both cases of a positive and a negative value of $\tau_i^{4\alpha-1}\Theta^{(i)}$, we write down this inequality in the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \min\{0, \tau_i^{4\alpha-1}\Theta^{(i)}\} < \log(1 + \tau_i^{4\alpha-1}\Theta^{(i)}) < \tau_i^{4\alpha-1}\Theta^{(i)}.$$

From this and from the fact that all $\Theta^{(i)}$ are bounded by the same constant C , it follows that the uniform convergence in $x, y \in M$ in (17) will be proved if

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i^{4\alpha-1} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |\mathcal{P}| \rightarrow 0.$$

This will follow from Lemma 4 if we choose $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ sufficiently close to $\frac{1}{2}$. Thus, (17) is proved. Relation (18) is obvious if we notice that all functions R_k are bounded by the same constant, and the products, by which R_k are being multiplied, converge uniformly to 1. Hence, we have proved that

$$\lim_{|\mathcal{P}| \rightarrow 0} q_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) = h(x, y, t)$$

uniformly in $x, y \in M$. The theorem is proved. □

References

- [1] *Chavel, I*, Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 115. Orlando etc.: Academic Press, Inc. XIV, 362 p.
- [2] *Smolyanov O.G., Weizsäcker H.v., Wittich O.*, Brownian motion on a manifold as a limit of stepwise conditioned standard Brownian motions, Canadian Mathematical Society, Conference Proceedings, Vol. 29, 2000, pp. 589-602.
- [3] *Engel, Klaus-Jochen; Nagel, Rainer*, One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations, (English) Graduate Texts in Mathematics. 194. Berlin: Springer. xxi, 586 p., 2000.